IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION 5:14-CR-221-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING
MICHAEL JAMAL ALLEN,) TRIAL
Defendant.)

This case came before the court today for hearing on the government's motion, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), to detain defendant pending trial. The government presented the testimony of an agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. Defendant presented the testimony of the proposed third-party custodian, his mother. The court also reviewed the pretrial services report. After careful consideration pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) of the credible information and argument submitted, and based on the findings and reasons stated below and in open court, the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required, and by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community before trial if defendant is released. The government's motion is therefore GRANTED.

Background

Defendant was indicted on 9 October 2014 for: conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute a quantity of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin beginning in or about January 2011 and continuing up to and including 9 October 2014 in

violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 (ct. 1); and distribution of a quantity of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin on or about 3 October 2012 (ct. 2) and on or about 4 January 2013 (ct. 10) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). The evidence presented at the hearing showed that defendant engaged in two controlled, recorded sales of heroin to confidential informants on the dates alleged in the distribution counts. Defendant did so as a member of a heroin-distribution gang in Henderson, North Carolina. The amount of heroin involved in the 2 sales was about 7.5 grams (each gram yielding about 28 dosages), but statements from others who had dealings with the conspiracy show that defendant had distributed several hundred grams of heroin. Defendant agreed to sell a confidential informant a firearm, but the transaction was never completed.

Discussion

Given the nature of the charges, the rebuttable presumption of detention in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) applies, and the court has considered it, although defendant proffered sufficient evidence to shift the burden of production to the government. In addition to the presumption, the principal findings and reasons underlying the court's determination that detention is required include the following: evidence showing that the government has a strong case, including the evidence reviewed above; the drug-related nature of the offenses charged; the circumstances of the offenses charged, including his making the alleged controlled sales while on state pretrial release, the substantial term of imprisonment defendant faces if convicted, and the historical amount of heroin allegedly distributed by defendant; defendant's criminal record, including 24 misdemeanor convictions, commission of about 19 such misdemeanors while on state pretrial release or probation, 2 formal probation revocations, and pending state charges for heroin trafficking; the danger of continued drug trafficking by defendant if released; defendant's

marijuana habit; the unsuitability of the proposed third-party custodial arrangement due to the

presence of the proposed custodial home in the same area where defendant was allegedly

trafficking in heroin and the extent of the risk of flight and danger presented by defendant; and

the other findings and reasons stated in open court.

The court considered evidence offered as mitigating, such as the absence of any felony

conviction by defendant. It finds, however, that the factors favoring detention outweigh such

evidence.

Conclusion

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant is committed to the custody of the

Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility

separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in

custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private

consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an

attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a

court proceeding.

This, the 17th day of October 2014.

James E. Gates

United States Magistrate Judge

3